

Litig., 496 B.R. 256, 262 (D. Mass. 2013). The Transfer Motion was granted as to cases within categories (1), (2) and (3). The Transfer Motion was denied, without prejudice, as to cases within category (4). *Id.* at 277-78.

With regard to category (4) cases, this Court assumed the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, but declined to exercise its discretion and, therefore, abstained from exercising such jurisdiction. *Id.* at 277. This Court further stated that “[t]he Court may, in the future, assert jurisdiction over [cases where a defendant asserts claims for indemnity or contribution against NECC] but will refrain from deciding that issue at this time.” *Id.* at 274.

Subsequent to the entry of the Transfer Opinion, the Bankruptcy Court entered its *Order (I) Establishing Deadline for Submitting Proofs of Claim, (II) Approving Certain Additional Requirements and Procedures for Personal Injury Tort and Wrongful Death Claims and (III) Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* [Bankr. Doc. No. 582] (the “Bar Date Order”), fixing January 15, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. as the claims deadline. In response to the Bar Date Order, Insight, a defendant previously identified in fourteen category (4) cases, filed the Insight Proof of Claim.¹ The automatic stay set forth in 11 U.S.C. § 362 prohibits Insight from naming NECC as a defendant in any civil action, and the filing of a proof of claim is Insight’s only appropriate vehicle for asserting indemnity and contribution claims against NECC.

On December 20, 2013, Insight Health Corp. (“Insight”) filed a proof of claim (the “Insight Proof of Claim”) seeking damages for contribution and indemnity against the NECC

¹ The Insight Proof of Claim identifies twenty-two current State Court Actions. The Addendum to the Insight Proof of Claim states that, in addition to the Roanoke Suits, there may be additional, future suits (“Potential Roanoke Claims”) and that “this Proof of Claim hereby asserts claims against NECC for each of the grounds set forth below on account of each Potential Roanoke Claim that becomes an actual claim against Insight.” Addendum to Insight Proof of Claim at ¶ 32.

estate in the State Court Actions, as well as for direct claims allegedly arising from fraud, negligence, and breach of warranty.²

As a result, on December 27, 2013, Paul D. Moore, the Chapter 11 Trustee for the bankruptcy estate of New England Compounding Pharmacy, Inc. (“NECC” or the “Debtor”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(5) and 1334, filed his renewed and supplemental motion (the “Supplemental Transfer Motion”) [MDL Doc. No. 732] for entry of an order transferring to this Court personal injury and wrongful death cases that were identified on Exhibit 1 (the “State Court Actions”) [MDL Doc. No. 733-1] to the accompanying memorandum of law (the “Memorandum of Law”) [MDL Doc. No. 733]. On January 27, 2014, in response to various objections to and in further support of the Supplemental Transfer Motion, the Trustee and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors filed an omnibus reply (the “Reply”) [MDL Doc. No. 818]. Attached to the Reply was the declaration of Rommel Mapa (the “Mapa Declaration”), an employee of the Debtor’s claims agent, Donlin, Recano & Company, Inc. The Mapa Declaration verified that the plaintiffs identified on Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Transfer Motion had filed proofs of claim in the NECC chapter 11 case.

The First Amended and Supplemental Exhibit 1 to the Transfer Motion

After the filing of the Supplemental Transfer Motion, the Trustee became aware of three (3) additional personal injury and wrongful death cases which were filed against Insight after the dates of the Insight Proof of Claim and the Supplemental Transfer Motion:

(1) *Nora Bell, et al., v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-531;

² A true and correct copy of the Insight Proof of Claim, including the addendum thereto (the “Insight Addendum”), was attached to the Memorandum of Law as Exhibit 2.

(2) *Gladys Austin, et al., v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-532; and

(3) *William L. Neal, et al., v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-533.

Collectively, those cases were filed on account of 47 plaintiffs. All of the plaintiffs in those cases, as well as the plaintiffs in the other Virginia lawsuits, filed proofs of claim in the NECC bankruptcy. The Trustee seeks to transfer these actions pursuant to the Supplemental Transfer Motion and therefore prepared an amended and supplemental Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Transfer Motion, which identified those new actions [MDL Doc. No. 1027] (the “First Amended and Supplemental Exhibit 1”).

The Recently Filed State Court Actions

Since the filing of the First Amended and Supplemental Exhibit 1, the Trustee has become aware of five (5) additional personal injury and wrongful death cases which the law firm Crandall & Katt filed against Insight after the dates of the Insight Proof of Claim and the Supplemental Transfer Motion:

(1) *Sharon W. Bishop, Executor of the Estate of Kathy W. Sinclair, Deceased, v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-921;

(2) *Lisa A. Agnew, et al. v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-922;

(3) *Tosha Andrews, Administrator of the Estate of Sara D. Culp a/k/a Sara D. Andrews, Deceased, v. Insight Health Corp., et al.*, Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-923;

(4) *Tamela M. Miller, Executor of the Estate of Sydney M. Creasy, Deceased, v. Insight Health Corp., et al* Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-924; and

(5) *Deborah P. Buchanan, Executor of the Estate of Jean R. Parsons, Deceased, v. Insight Health Corp., et al* Roanoke City Circuit Court, Docket No. CL14-925.

Collectively, these additional cases are filed on account of 59 additional plaintiffs. All of the plaintiffs in the Crandall & Katt cases filed proofs of claim in the NECC bankruptcy, with the exception of Eduardo S. Figueredo, a plaintiff in the *Agnew v. Insight Health Corp.* action.³ The Trustee seeks to transfer these new actions pursuant to the Supplemental Transfer Motion and has therefore prepared the attached second amended and supplemental Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Transfer Motion, which identifies these new actions, together with the actions identified in the First Amended and Supplemental Exhibit 1.

The Supplemental Transfer Motion therefore now seeks to transfer cases brought by 127 plaintiffs (the plaintiff in the now-settled *Wingate* action is no longer subject to the Trustee's Supplemental Transfer Motion).

Dated: May 12, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

DUANE MORRIS LLP

/s/ Michael R. Gottfried
Michael R. Gottfried
(BBO# 542156)

100 High Street
Suite 2400
Boston, MA 02110-1724
Phone: (857) 488-4200
Email: mrgottfried@duanemorris.com

Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee

³ See the Second Declaration of Rommel Mapa, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

Certificate of Service

I, Michael R. Gottfried, certify that the foregoing *Notice of Filing of a Second Amended and Supplemental Exhibit 1 to the Chapter 11 Trustee's Renewed and Supplemental Motion to Transfer Additional Personal Injury Tort and Wrongful Death Cases to this Court*, filed through the ECF system, will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing on May 12, 2014.

/s/ Michael R. Gottfried
Michael R. Gottfried